

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/147,77	0 04/28/9	9 DEL SOLDATO		F	P8907-9002		
HM22/0801 T NIKAIDO MARMELSTEIN MURRAY & ORAM				EXAMINER TRAVERS, R			
655 FIFTEENTH STREET NW SUITE 330 G STREET LOBBY WASHINGTON DC 20005-5701				1617	13		
WASHINGTO	N DC 20005-	-9/01		DATE MAILED:	08/01/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/147,770

Del Soldato et al

Examiner

Office Action Summary

RUSSELL TRAVERS

Art Unit 1617



-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the c	over she	et with	the corr	espondend	e addres.	s	
THE MA - Extensi after - If the p be c - If NO p com - Failure - Any res	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI resize (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic reriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Iteriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by oly received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 cation. s, a reply veriod will v statute,	(a). In n vithin the apply a cause th	o event, statuto nd will e	however ry minim xpire SIX	, may a repum of thirty (6) MONTI	oly be time (30) day IS from the	s will ne mailing ((35 U.S.C.	. § 133).
Status 1) X F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 14, 2	2001							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) \Box This act								MANAGE AND STREET
3) 🗆 S	Since this application is in condition for allowance elosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except fe	or form	al matte	ers, pros 11; 45	secution a 3 O.G. 21	s to the 3.	merits is	
-	on of Claims								
4) 💢 (Claim(s) 1, 2, and 5-25	· ·			is/a	re pendin	g in the	applicatio	n.
4 a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>6-8 and 11-20</u>				is/	are withd	rawn fro	m consid	eration.
5) 🗆 C	Claim(s)					_ is/are a	llowed.		
6) 💢 C	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5, 9, 10, and 21-25</u>					_ is/are re	ejected.		
7) 🗆 C	Claim(s)					_ is/are o	bjected t	0.	
8) 🗆 (Claims		are	subject	to rest	riction and	d/or elec	tion requi	rement.
9)	on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					d b)□ dis	approve	d.	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure is the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been r ve been r locument eau (PCT	eceived eceived ts have Rule 1	d in App been re 7.2(a)).	olication eceived	Noin this Na	tional St	age	.•
14) 🗆 🛮	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority	under (35 U.S.	C. § 11	9(e).			
Attachmen	nt(s)	_							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		_	-	er No(s).			
· —	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		ormal Pate	nt Applicati	on (PTO-152)			
17) 🔛 infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20} 📋 Ot	.1987;						



Application/Control Number: 09/147,770

Art Unit:

The response and amendment filed May 14, 2001 have been received and entered into the file.

Applicant's arguments filed May 14, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Claims 1-2, and 5-25 are presented for examination.

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

Claims 6-8, and 11-20 reading on non-elected subject matter are withdrawn form consideration. Claims 1-2, 5, 9-10 and 21-25 will be examined to the extent they read on the elected subject matter.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.



Application/Control Number: 09/147,770

Art Unit:

Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Merck Index #4852 and Morikawa et al.

Merck Index #4852 teach indomethacin as old and well known in combination with various pharmaceutical carriers and excipients in a dosage form. This medicament is taught as useful for treating inflammation. Morikawa et al teach indomethacin as old and well known in combination with various pharmaceutical carriers and excipients in a dosage form. This medicament is taught as useful for increasing the time to micturition, and increasing bladder pressure threshold.

Compounds taught as useful for increasing the time to micturition, and increasing bladder pressure would have been seen as useful for treating urinary incontinence by the skilled artisan. Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 21-25, and the primary references, differ as to:

1) the recitation of those medicaments set forth in claims 9 and 10.

The skilled artisan, possessing a compound for a therapeutic use possesses that compounds analogs, homologs, isomers, bioisosteres, salts, acids and esters for the same use. To employ an analog, homolog, isomer, bioisostere, salts acid and ester for the same use therapeutic use would have been obvious to the skilled artisan. Prior art use for the same therapeutic purpose would have motivated the skilled artisan to employ indomethacin esters to the same therapeutic use and enjoy a reasonable expectation of therapeutic succ ss.





Art Unit:

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

As stated above, the instant claims read on analogs, homologs, isomers, bioisosteres, salts, acids and esters known for the same therapeutic use claimed herein. The skilled artisan possessing the core compounds for the same therapeutic purpose would have motivated the skilled artisan to employ indomethacin esters to the same therapeutic use enjoying a reasonable expectation of therapeutic success.

Applicants aver unexpected benefits residing in the claimed subject matter, yet fail to fails to set forth evidence substantiating this belief. Evidence as to unexpected benefits must be "clear and convincing" *In re Lohr*, 137 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1963), and be of a scope reasonably commensurate with the scope of the subject matter claimed, *In re Linder*, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972). The data provided by Applicants is neither convincing, nor reasonably commensurate in scope with the instant claims. Absent a convincing showing of unexpected benefits residing in the claimed subject matter; coupled with claims commensurate with the showing of unexpected benefits, or a showing reasonably commensurate with the instant claims, such claims remain properly rejected under 35 USC 103.

Attention is directed to page 51 (table 2) setting forth physiological effects of the parent compound and the claimed ester. Although no test for data reliability was offered, Examiner finds only a 10% difference between those effects provided by the



Art Unit:

parent compound, and the claimed ester. Examiner's 10% difference determination is, by necessity, based on the unlikely situation where no variation was seen between tests: a highly unlikely course of events. Absent a showing of **unexpected** benefits residing in the claimed subject matter, the instant claims remain properly rejected as obvious.

It is well known by the skilled artisan that carriers and excipients are employed to enhance the activity of active ingredients. Thus, the skilled artisan would expect conventional excipients and carriers to be useful concomitantly, absent information to the contrary. The instant carriers and excipients are not employed concomitantly in the prior art, thus only obviate their concomitant use.

Applicant's attention is drawn to <u>In re Graf</u>, 145 USPQ 197 (CCPA 1965) and <u>In re Finsterwalder</u>, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971) where the court ruled that when a substance is unpatentable under 35 USC 103, it is immaterial that applicant may have disclosed an obvious or unobvious further purpose or advantage for the substance.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION.



Application/Control Number: 09/147,770

Art Unit:

IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

Russell Travers J.D., Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617